

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,274	05/31/2005	Ryozo Nagai	P26087	6408
7055 GREENBLUM	7590 12/31/2008 M & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAN	D CLARKE PLACE	HOLLOMAN, NANNETTE		
RESTON, VA	. 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/511,274	NAGAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NANNETTE HOLLOMAN	1612	

	NANNETTE HOLLOMAN	1612						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36  If the provision of 57 CFR 1.36  If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the set or extended period for reply with partial and the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply with partial with the set of	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	<u>ıgust 2008</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-11,13 and 14 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-11,13 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.								
· · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>								
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary  Paper Note Mail December 1							

- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Sbr08)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/29/2008, 12/15/2008.

- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.
- 6) Other: \_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/511,274 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

#### DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on August 29, 2008. All previous rejections have been withdrawn unless stated below.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 2-11 and 13-14 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, drawn to a medicament.

Group II, claim(s) 2-5 and 13, drawn to a method of treating arteriosclerosis.

Group III, claim(s) 6-7, 11 and 14, drawn to a method for suppressing granulation due to intravascular physical injury.

Group IV, claim(s) 8, drawn to a method for suppressing proliferation of neointima.

Group V, claim(s) 9, drawn to a method for prophylactic and/or therapeutic treatment of hypercardia.

Group VI, claim(s) 10, drawn to a method for suppressing fibrosis in hypercardia.

Art Unit: 1612

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking the claims is 4-[5,6,7,8-tetrahydo-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-maphthalenyl)carbomoyl]benzoic acid (Am80) or a salt thereof. Prior art exists which causes the compound in the current application to lack a special technical feature. Nagai et al. (Pharmacology, Abstract, Vol. 58, 1999, pp. 101-112) disclose the use of Am-80 in treating arthritis.

As a result, no special technical feature exists among the different groups because the inventions in Groups I-VI fail to make a contribution over the prior art and are therefore not "special."

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 2-11 and 13-14 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit: 1612

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagai et al. (Pharmacology, Abstract, Vol. 58, 1999, pp.101-112).

Nagai et al. disclose Am-80, which is the compound as claimed, as a medicament. Since the composition of the reference is substantially the same, comprising Am-80 as the active agent, as the compositions of the instant claims, the compositions of the reference should inherently possess the function of treating vascular disease or arteriolosclerosis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 (New Rejection)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 1612

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

 Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. (WO 00/10552, previously disclosed) and further in view of Kagechika et al. (Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, Vol. 31, No. 11, pp. 2182-2192,1998, previously disclosed).

Brown et al. disclose the treatment of vascular disease due to intravascular physical injury, i.e. coronary restenosis following coronary intervention with compounds (p. 6, lines 21-31). Brown et al. disclose the compound as retinoids including retinoic acid or a synthetic retinoid (p. 5, line 10).

Brown et al. do not disclose a medicament comprising 4-[5,6,7,8-tetrahydo-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-maphthalenyl)carbomoyl]benzoic acid (Am80).

Art Unit: 1612

Kagechika et al. disclose the synthesized 4-[5,6,7,8-tetrahydo-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-maphthalenyl)carbomoyl]benzoic acid (Am80) with several times more activity than retinoic acid (Abstract). Kagechika et al. disclose that synthetic retinoids, which have a better molecular fit to receptors than retinoic acid does, may elicit stronger or more specific activities (p. 2182, column 2, lines 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use 4-[5,6,7,8-tetrahydo-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-maphthalenyl)carbomoyl]benzoic acid (Am80) as the synthetic retinoid of Brown et al. motivated by the desire to use a compound with stronger and more specific activities as disclosed by Kagechika et al.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1612

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANNETTE HOLLOMAN whose telephone number is (571) 270-5231. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 800am-500pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick Krass can be reached on 571-272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 1612

> /Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612